

# Training and Evaluation Outline Report

**Status: Approved**

**10 Feb 2015**

**Effective Date: 30 Sep 2016**

**Task Number:** 71-9-5740

**Task Title:** Coordinate Plans with Non-Department of Defense Organizations (Division Echelon and Above [Operational])

**Distribution Restriction:** Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

**Destruction Notice:** None

**Foreign Disclosure: FD1** - This training product has been reviewed by the training developers in coordination with the Fort Leavenworth foreign disclosure officer. This training product can be used to instruct international military students from all approved countries without restrictions.

## Supporting Reference(s):

Step Number	Reference ID	Reference Name	Required	Primary
	JP 3-08	Interorganizational Coordination During Joint Operations	Yes	No
	JP 3-16	Multinational Operations	Yes	No
	JP 5-0	Joint Operation Planning	Yes	Yes

**Conditions:** The command is conducting operations as a Joint Task Force (JTF) or as a Combined Joint Task Force (CJTF) headquarters. The command's headquarters receives liaison, unit, and individual augmentees. The command receives an operations order from higher headquarters. The commander issues guidance on coordinating plans with non-DoD organizations. The command establishes communications with subordinate and adjacent units and higher headquarters. The mission command system is operational and processing information in accordance with standard operating procedures. This task should not be trained in MOPP 4.

**Standards:** The staff coordinates plans with non-DoD organizations to facilitate the exchange of operational information among unified action partners in accordance with the commanders intent, orders from higher headquarters, and standard operating procedures.

**Live Fire Required:** No

**Objective Task Evaluation Criteria Matrix:**

Plan and Prepare		Execute						Assess	
Operational Environment	Training Environment (L/V/C)	Training/Authorized	% of Leaders Present at	% of Soldiers Present at	External Eval	% Performance Measures 'GO'	% Critical Performance Measures 'GO'	% Leader Performance Measures 'GO'	Task Assessment
BDE & Above									
Dynamic and Complex (All OE Variables and Hybrid Threat)	Night	IAW unit CATS statement.	>=85%	>=80%	Yes	>=91%	All	>=90%	<b>T</b>
			75-84%			80-90%		80-89%	<b>T-</b>
Dynamic and Complex (All OE Variables and Single Threat)	Day		65-74%	75-79%	No	65-79%	<All	<=79%	<b>P</b>
			60-64%	60-74%		51-64%			<b>P-</b>
Dynamic and Complex (<All OE Variables and Single Threat)				<=59%	<=59%	<=50%			<b>U</b>

**Remarks:** None

**Notes:** None

**Safety Risk:** Low

**Task Statements**

**Cue:** None

**DANGER**

Leaders have an inherent responsibility to conduct Composite Risk Management to ensure the safety of all Soldiers and promote mission accomplishment.

## **WARNING**

Composite Risk Management is the Army's primary decision-making process to identify hazards, reduce risk, and prevent both accidental and tactical loss. All soldiers have the responsibility to learn and understand the risks associated with this task.

## **CAUTION**

Identifying hazards and controlling risks across the full spectrum of Army functions, operations, and activities is the responsibility of all Soldiers.

## Performance Steps and Measures

**NOTE:** Assess task proficiency using the task evaluation criteria matrix.

**NOTE:** Asterisks (\*) indicate leader steps; plus signs (+) indicate critical steps.

STEP/MEASURE	GO	NO-GO	N/A
1. The staff integrates the capabilities of non-DoD organizations to ensure unity of effort in accomplishing an objective by:	N/A	N/A	N/A
a. Defining the desired end states, the objectives of the operation, and the plan for transition between phases.	N/A	N/A	N/A
b. Recommending termination criteria.	N/A	N/A	N/A
c. Facilitating common understanding of the capabilities and limitations of unified action partners.	N/A	N/A	N/A
d. Assessing the consequences of military and civilian actions.	N/A	N/A	N/A
e. Identifying common objectives and complementary military and civilian capabilities to achieve objectives.	N/A	N/A	N/A
f. Recognizing relationships between US government departments and agencies, to include the lead or primary agency.	N/A	N/A	N/A
g. Determining courses of action for the assigned military tasks.	N/A	N/A	N/A
h. Obtaining a clear definition of the role that each agency, department, or organization plays.	N/A	N/A	N/A
i. Identifying potential obstacles arising from conflicting departmental or agency priorities or authorities.	N/A	N/A	N/A
j. Determining which US or host nation government agencies, departments, or organizations are providing resources to reduce duplication, increase coherence in the collective effort, and identify what additional resources are needed.	N/A	N/A	N/A
k. Coordinating the activities of interagency assessment teams deployed to the area.	N/A	N/A	N/A
l. Developing an information sharing strategy along with methods and tools that enable coordination.	N/A	N/A	N/A
m. Identifying and resolving interoperability issues to assure information sharing.	N/A	N/A	N/A
n. Providing input to multinational and interagency planning processes.	N/A	N/A	N/A
o. Establishing contact and/or liaison with participating US and host nation government agencies, departments, and inter-governmental and non-governmental organizations.	N/A	N/A	N/A
2. The staff plans campaigns, contingencies, and crises with non-DoD organizations involvement by:	N/A	N/A	N/A
a. Integrating unified action partner capabilities into estimates, plans, and operations.	N/A	N/A	N/A
b. Assessing the effect of different and conflicting policies, legal authorities, roles and responsibilities, procedures, decision-making processes, and culture on planning and executing operations.	N/A	N/A	N/A
c. Providing unified action partners with an appreciation of US military capabilities, requirements, operational limitations, and legal considerations.	N/A	N/A	N/A
d. Planning for unified action partner participation for each phase of the operation	N/A	N/A	N/A
e. Determining releasability of plans and orders to all affected agencies during development to ensure inputs are considered and incorporated at the earliest stage practicable.	N/A	N/A	N/A
f. Establishing an inter-organizational coordination office, staff element, or process tailored to the mission and situation, such as a:	N/A	N/A	N/A
(1) Joint interagency coordination group to conduct collaborative civilian and military operations planning.	N/A	N/A	N/A
(2) Joint interagency task force to bring all instruments of national power to bear on asymmetric threats.	N/A	N/A	N/A
(3) Civil-military operations center to coordinate US military activities with indigenous populations and institutions, private sector, inter-governmental, and non-governmental organizations, multinational forces, and government agencies.	N/A	N/A	N/A
(4) Multinational coordination center to integrate participating nations' military forces into planning and operations processes.	N/A	N/A	N/A
3. The staff coordinates operation plans and orders with non-DoD organizations by:	N/A	N/A	N/A
a. Defining the operational problem.	N/A	N/A	N/A
b. Communicating the objectives, end state, and transition criteria for each unified action partner.	N/A	N/A	N/A
c. Developing common assumptions to initiate planning by the supported and supporting agencies.	N/A	N/A	N/A
d. Assessing differences between US national objectives, end state and transition criteria, and the objectives of other unified action partners.	N/A	N/A	N/A
e. Establishing a common frame of reference.	N/A	N/A	N/A
f. Developing courses of action or options that represent a comprehensive approach to attain the strategic end state.	N/A	N/A	N/A
g. Establishing responsibility for action and the means to accomplish actions.	N/A	N/A	N/A
h. Planning for the transition of key responsibilities, capabilities, and functions.	N/A	N/A	N/A
i. Directing all actions toward a common purpose.	N/A	N/A	N/A
j. Implementing the information sharing strategy to leverage information held or created by another unified action partner.	N/A	N/A	N/A

**TASK PERFORMANCE / EVALUATION SUMMARY BLOCK**

ITERATION	1	2	3	4	5	M	TOTAL
TOTAL PERFORMANCE MEASURES EVALUATED							
TOTAL PERFORMANCE MEASURES GO							
TRAINING STATUS GO/NO-GO							

**ITERATION:** 1 2 3 4 5 M

**COMMANDER/LEADER ASSESSMENT:** T P U

**Mission(s) supported:** None

**MOPP 4:** Never

**MOPP 4 Statement:** None

**NVG:** Never

**NVG Statement:** None

**Prerequisite Collective Task(s):**

Step Number	Task Number	Title	Proponent	Status
	71-9-5200	Assess the Operational Situation	71 - Combined Arms (Collective)	Approved
	71-9-5300	Prepare Plans (Division Echelon and Above [Operational])	71 - Combined Arms (Collective)	Approved
	71-9-5400	Control Subordinate Operational Forces (Division Echelon and Above [Operational])	71 - Combined Arms (Collective)	Approved
	71-9-6500	Provide Security for Operational Forces (Division Echelon and Above [Operational])	71 - Combined Arms (Collective)	Approved

**Supporting Collective Task(s):**

Step Number	Task Number	Title	Proponent	Status
	71-TA-5310	Conduct Operational Mission Analysis for Theater Army	71 - Combined Arms (Collective)	Approved

**OPFOR Task(s):** None

**Supporting Individual Task(s):**

Step Number	Task Number	Title	Proponent	Status
	150-LDR-5003	Use the Mission Order Technique	150 - Combined Arms (Individual)	Approved
	150-MC-2300	Perform Information Collection	150 - Combined Arms (Individual)	Approved
	150-MC-5111	Conduct the Military Decisionmaking Process	150 - Combined Arms (Individual)	Approved
	150-MC-5144	Develop a Running Estimate	150 - Combined Arms (Individual)	Approved
	150-MC-5145	Conduct Risk Management	150 - Combined Arms (Individual)	Approved
	150-MC-5200	Conduct Command Post Operations	150 - Combined Arms (Individual)	Approved

**Supporting Drill(s):** None

**Supported AUTL/UJTL Task(s):**

Task ID	Title
OP 5.7.4	Coordinate Plans with Non-Department of Defense (DOD) Organizations

## TADSS

TADSS ID	Title	Product Type	Quantity
No TADSS specified			

## Equipment (LIN)

LIN	Nomenclature	Qty
No equipment specified		

## Materiel Items (NSN)

NSN	LIN	Title	Qty
No materiel items specified			

**Environment:** Environmental protection is not just the law but the right thing to do. It is a continual process and starts with deliberate planning. Always be alert to ways to protect our environment during training and missions. In doing so, you will contribute to the sustainment of our training resources while protecting people and the environment from harmful effects. Refer to the current Environmental Considerations manual and the current GTA Environmental-related Risk Assessment card. Environmental protection is not just the law but the right thing to do. It is a continual process and starts with deliberate planning. Always be alert to ways to protect our environment during training and missions. In doing so, you will contribute to the sustainment of our training resources while protecting people and the environment from harmful effects. Refer to FM 3-34.5 Environmental Considerations and GTA 05-08-002 ENVIRONMENTAL-RELATED RISK ASSESSMENT.

**Safety:** In a training environment, leaders must perform a risk assessment in accordance with ATP 5-19, Risk Management. Leaders will complete the current Deliberate Risk Assessment Worksheet in accordance with the TRADOC Safety Officer during the planning and completion of each task and sub-task by assessing mission, enemy, terrain and weather, troops and support available-time available and civil considerations, (METT-TC). Note: During MOPP training, leaders must ensure personnel are monitored for potential heat injury. Local policies and procedures must be followed during times of increased heat category in order to avoid heat related injury. Consider the MOPP work/rest cycles and water replacement guidelines IAW FM 3-11.4, Multiservice Tactics, Techniques, and Procedures for Nuclear, Biological, and Chemical (NBC) Protection, FM 3-11.5, Multiservice Tactics, Techniques, and Procedures for Chemical, Biological, Radiological, and Nuclear Decontamination.